

SECTION 1: CIA SUMMARY
Community Impact Assessment: Summary
1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

Highway Asset Management

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

Evidence led assessment, management and improvement of the council's highway asset infrastructure

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Steve Wragg, Flood Risk and Asset Manager

4. Have any impacts been Identified? (Yes/No)

Community of Identity affected:

Summary of impact:

5. Date CIA completed: 26/08/2015

6. Signed off by:

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.

Name:

Position:

Date:

8. Decision-making body:

Date:

Decision Details:

Send the completed signed off document to ciasubmission@york.gov.uk It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required

Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title:
Highway Asset Management

What evidence is available **to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect** on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? **For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people.** NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
No bias or inequalities are evident, the management of highway assets is based on evidence led data collation and assessment.			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			Steve Wragg	26/08/2015

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Highway asset maintenance and programmes of renewal are based on evidence led data collation and assessment with no preferences made for any user of the network.			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			Steve Wragg	26/08/2015

Community of Identity: Disability

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
All highway assets are assessed as part of routine inspections which identify any actionable defects for repair and the development of an evidence led renewal programme. No community of identity is biased or impacted because of this.			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

	<i>justified?</i>			
			Steve Wragg	26/08/2015

Community of Identity: Gender

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
The management of highway assets is based on evidence led data collation and assessment with programmes of future work and reactive works dictated by this evidence. No bias or inequalities are evident because of this			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			Steve Wragg	26/08/2015

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
No bias or inequalities are evident, the management of highway assets is based on evidence led data collation and			None	None

assessment.				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			Steve Wragg	26/08/2015

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
The collation of highway asset data does not discriminate on any particular group of users, investment decisions are made purely on the asset data that is gathered.		None	None	
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			Steve Wragg	26/08/2015

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
-----------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------------

All highway assets are assessed as part of routine inspections which identify any actionable defects for repair and the development of an evidence led renewal programme. No community of identity is biased or impacted because of this.			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			Steve Wragg	26/08/2015

Community of Identity: Race

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
The management of highway assets is based on evidence led data collation and assessment with programmes of future work and reactive works dictated by this evidence. No bias or inequalities are evident because of this			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			Steve Wragg	26/08/2015

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Highway asset maintenance and programmes of renewal are based on evidence led data collation and assessment with no preferences made for any user of the network.			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			Steve Wragg	26/08/2015

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Investment decisions are based purely on highway asset data, no user groups are given preference or are impacted because of this, all users are treated equally.			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

			Steve Wragg	26/08/2015
--	--	--	-------------	------------